We want to obtain the bound

$$\sum_{n\sim\sqrt{T}}n^{it}\ll T^{1/2-\eta}$$

with $t \sim T$.

We split into short intervals

$$\sum_{n \in [N_k, N_k + H]} n^{it} = N^{it} \sum_{h \in [0, H]} e\left(\frac{ht}{N} - h^2 \cdot \frac{t}{2N^2} + \dots\right)$$

with $N_k = kH \sim \sqrt{T}$.

Overall strategy

► Whenever
$$rac{t}{2N^2} pprox rac{a}{q} + O\Bigl(rac{1}{H^2}\Bigr)$$

with $H^{\delta} < q < H^{2-\delta}$ we will exhibit cancellations in the short sum

Whenever

$$rac{t}{2N^2}pproxrac{a}{q}+O\Bigl(rac{1}{H^{2-\delta}}\Bigr)$$

with $q \leq H^{\delta}$ we will bound the sum trivially, but we will show there are few such intervals [N, N + H].

$$\sum_{h\in[0,H]} e(\varphi(h) + \theta(h)) \approx \sum_{h\in[0,H]} e(\varphi(h))$$

for any $\theta(h)$ with $\theta'(h) \ll 1/H$ for $h \in [0, H]$.

We approximate

$$\frac{t}{2N^2} = \frac{a}{q} + \theta_N$$

with (a,q)=1 and $q\leq Q:=H^{2-\delta}$ and $| heta_N|\leq 1/(qQ).$

• As long as $q > H^{\delta}$ we have $|\theta_N| \le 1/H^2$ and this means that $e(h^2\theta)$ can be ignored for $q > H^{\delta}$.

• Therefore for $q > H^{\delta}$ we have

$$\sum_{h\in[0,H]} e\left(\frac{ht}{N} - h^2\left(\frac{t}{2N^2}\right)\right) \approx \sum_{h\in[0,H]} e\left(\frac{ht}{N} - \frac{h^2a}{q}\right)$$

Furthermore given q we can find a b such that,

$$rac{t}{N}=rac{b}{q}+ heta$$
 (mod 1)

with $|\theta| \leq 1/q$.

So we get that the short sum is

$$\sum_{h\in[0,H]} e\Big(\frac{hb}{q} - \frac{h^2a}{q} + h\theta\Big)$$

with $|\theta| \leq 1/q$.

Correcting the subconvexity

We now apply Poisson summation:

$$\sum_{h\in[0,H]} e\Big(\frac{hb}{q} - \frac{h^2a}{q} + h\theta\Big) \approx \frac{1}{q} \sum_{|\ell| \le q/H} S(b-\ell,a) \mathbf{1}\Big(H\Big(\theta - \frac{\ell}{q}\Big)\Big)$$

where

$$S(a-\ell,b) = \sum_{x \pmod{q}} e\Big(\frac{x(a-\ell)}{q} + \frac{x^2b}{q}\Big) \ll \sqrt{q}$$

In particular bounding the right hand side trivially we get

$$\sum_{h\in[0,H]} e\Big(\frac{hb}{q} - \frac{h^2a}{q} + h\theta\Big) \ll \frac{\sqrt{q}}{H} \le H^{1-\delta/2}$$

since $q \leq H^{2-\delta}$.

This gives the first claim: that if

$$\frac{t}{2N^2} = \frac{a}{q} + O\left(\frac{1}{H^2}\right)$$

with $H^{\delta} \leq q \leq H^{2-\delta}$ then the short sum over [N, N+H] is bounded by $H^{1-\delta/2}$.

▶ It remains to show that the number of intervals [N, N + H] with

$$\frac{t}{2N^2} = \frac{a}{q} + O\Big(\frac{1}{H^{2-\delta}}\Big)$$

and $q \leq H^{\delta}$ is small.

We wish to show,

$$\sum_{kH\sim\sqrt{T}} \mathbf{1} \Big(\exists q \leq H^{\delta} : \Big\| \frac{t}{2(kH)^2} - \frac{a}{q} \Big\| \leq \frac{1}{H^{2-\delta}} \Big) \ll \frac{\sqrt{T}}{H} \cdot H^{-\eta}$$

for some $\eta > 0$.

We can drop the ∃ by using the union bound. Bounding the above by

$$\sum_{\substack{q \leq H^\delta \ (a,q)=1}} \sum_{kH \sim \sqrt{T}} \mathbf{1} \Big(\Big\| rac{t}{2(kH)^2} - rac{\mathsf{a}}{q} \Big\| \leq rac{1}{H^{2-\delta}} \Big)$$

In particular it's enough to show

$$\sum_{kH\sim\sqrt{T}} \mathbf{1}\Big(\Big\|\frac{t}{2(kH)^2} - \frac{\mathsf{a}}{q}\Big\| \le \frac{1}{H^{2-\delta}}\Big) \ll \frac{\sqrt{T}}{H} H^{-2\delta-\eta}$$

for some $\eta > 0$.

As usual we expand into a trigonometric series

$$\mathbf{1}\Big(\Big\|\frac{t}{2(kH)^2}-\frac{a}{q}\Big\|\leq \frac{1}{H^{2-\delta}}\Big)\approx \frac{1}{H^{2-\delta}}+\sum_{0<|\ell|\leq H^{2-\delta}}e\Big(\frac{\ell t}{2(kH)^2}\Big)$$

The main term is

$$\frac{\sqrt{T}}{H^{3-\delta}} \ll \frac{\sqrt{T}}{H} H^{-2\delta-\eta}$$

for some $\eta > 0$, provided that δ is sufficiently small.

The error term is

$$\frac{1}{H^{2-\delta}}\sum_{|\ell|\leq H^{2-\delta}}\sum_{k\sim\sqrt{T}/H}e\Big(\frac{\ell t}{2k^2H^2}\Big)$$

▶ We apply Poisson summation in *k*. The new length is

$$\varphi'\Big(\frac{\sqrt{T}}{H}\Big) \approx \frac{H^{2-\delta}T}{(\sqrt{T}/H)^3H^2} \approx \frac{H^{3-\delta}}{\sqrt{T}}$$

If H is sufficiently small power of T then this is < 1. This means that only the central term survives and therefore the behavior of the sum is exactly the sum as the integral</p>

$$\int_{x \sim \sqrt{T}/H} e\Big(\frac{\ell t}{2x^2 H^2}\Big) dx \ll \frac{\sqrt{T}}{H^{3-\delta}}$$

by the first derivative test.

This is exactly the same bound as we obtained from the main term.

To summarize: we split the sum

$$\sum_{n\sim\sqrt{T}}n^{it}$$

into \sqrt{T}/H intervals of length *H*.

• If on the interval [N, N + H] we have,

$$\frac{t}{2N^2} = \frac{a}{q} + O\Big(\frac{1}{H^2}\Big)$$

for some $H^{\delta} \leq H^{2-\delta}$, then we can bound the contribution of this interval by $H^{1-\delta/2}$.

The number of remaining intervals is (provided that H is choosen a small power),

$$\ll rac{\sqrt{T}}{H^{3-\delta}}H^{2\delta}$$

and this is less than $\sqrt{T}H^{-1-\eta}$ for some $\eta > 0$ provided that δ is sufficiently small.

Correcting the subconvexity

- These two together give us a subconvex bound for the Riemann zeta-function.
- If you go through the proof carefully you see that we also get an algorithm for computing the Riemann zeta function in time O(T^{1/2−δ}) for some δ > 0.

Consequences of bounds for $\zeta(s)$

We established a subconvex bound

$$|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)| \ll (1+|t|)^{1/4-\delta}$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

In reality we expect

$$|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)|\ll_{\varepsilon}(1+|t|)^{\varepsilon}$$

for any given $\varepsilon > 0$.

This is called the Lindelof Hypothesis and is the strongest bound that one can hope for (at the scale of power-savings).

Lindelof Hypothesis

It is easy to motivate the Lindelof Hypothesis: We can write

$$\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)\approx\sum_{n\leq T}\frac{1}{n^{1/2+it}}$$

- Since the frequency p^{it} are uncorrelated it is reasonable to think of n^{it} as a random multiplicative function X_n with X_p uniformly distributed on the unit circle.
- We have,

$$\sum_{n\leq T}\frac{X_n}{\sqrt{n}}\ll T^{\varepsilon}$$

with very high probability

Lindelof Hypothesis

Alternatively we can compute the so-called second moment,

$$\int_{|t| \leq T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 \approx \int_{|t| \leq T} \Big| \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{1}{n^{1/2 + it}} \Big|^2 dt \sim T \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{1}{n}$$

Which shows that for typical t

$$|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)| \ll \log T$$

► This is somewhat misleading though, because this bound is not true for all t ∈ [T, 2T]. It is too optimistic.

Consequences of the Lindelof Hypothesis

- If the Lindelof Hypothesis is true then we can approximate the ζ function by short Dirichlet polynomials.
- We have, for σ > ¹/₂ and W a smooth compactly supported function with W(0) = 1,

$$\sum_{n \le X} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma+it}} W\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \zeta(\sigma+it+w) \widetilde{W}(w) X^w dw$$

• Shifting contours to $\Re s = \frac{1}{2} - \sigma$ we get

$$\zeta(s) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma-i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma+i\infty} \zeta(\sigma+it+w)\widetilde{W}(w)X^{w}dw$$

• On the Lindelof Hypothesis we can bound the integral by $\ll_{\varepsilon} T^{\varepsilon} X^{1/2-\sigma}$ which is negligible as soon as $X > T^{10\varepsilon}$, say.

Consequence of the Lindelof Hypothesis

Theorem

Assume the Lindelof Hypothesis. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then, for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ and $t \in [T, 2T]$,

$$\zeta(\sigma+it)=\sum_{n\leq T^{\varepsilon}}\frac{1}{n^{1/2+it}}+O(T^{-(\sigma-1/2)\varepsilon}).$$

In other words on the Lindelof Hypothesis we can compute the Riemann zeta-function off the critical line in time O(T^ε).

Consequences of the Lindelof Hypothesis

 Another essentially similar consequence of the Lindelof Hypothesis is the bound

$$\sum_{n\leq N} n^{it} \ll_{\varepsilon} |t|^{\varepsilon} \sqrt{N}$$

- We will later see what these bounds have to say about the zeros of the Riemann ζ-function.
- ► In particular we will discuss so called zero-density theorems: theorems that establish bounds for the number of points $\beta + i\gamma$ with

$$\zeta(\beta + i\gamma) = 0 \ , \ \beta > \sigma \ , \ |\gamma| \leq T.$$

The trivial bound is ≪ T log T since this is the total number of zeros with |γ| ≤ T.

A mollifier is a finite Dirichlet polynomial with the property that "most of the time"

 $\zeta(s)M(s)\approx 1$

Since

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}$$

where μ is the Mobius function, it is natural to expect that

$$\sum_{n\leq N}\frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}$$

should be a mollifier.

By Mobius inversion

$$\zeta(s)M(s) = 1 + \sum_{n > N} \frac{a(n)}{n^s}$$

where $|a(n)| \leq d(n) \ll_{\varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon}$.

We are interested in bounding

$$\mathsf{N}(\sigma; \mathsf{T}) := \Big\{ eta + i\gamma : \zeta(eta + i\gamma) = \mathsf{0}, eta > \sigma, |\gamma| \leq \mathsf{T} \Big\}.$$

► Trivially

$$V(\sigma; T) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{\beta > \sigma - \varepsilon \\ |\gamma| \leq T \\ \zeta(\beta + i\gamma)}} (\beta - \frac{1}{2})$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{\beta > \sigma - \varepsilon \\ |\gamma| \leq T \\ (M\zeta)(\beta + i\gamma) = 0}} (\beta - \frac{1}{2})$$

 By Littewood's formula (an analogue of Jensen formula for rectangles) the above is

$$\leq rac{1}{arepsilon} \int_{|t| \leq T} \log |(\zeta M)(\sigma - arepsilon + it)| dt$$

By Jensen's inequality

$$\int_{|t| \leq \mathcal{T}} \log |(M\zeta)(\sigma{+}it)| dt \leq \mathcal{T} \log \Big(rac{1}{2\mathcal{T}} \int_{|t| \leq \mathcal{T}} |(\zeta M)(\sigma{+}it)|^2 dt \Big)$$

- One typically simply computes the above second moment, and this is the "classical" way of obtaining a zero-density estimate.
- For instance if we M is a mollifier of length X, then we expect

$$\frac{1}{2T} \int_{|t| \le T} |(\zeta M)(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = 1 + O\Big(\sum_{n > X} \frac{1}{n^{2\sigma}}\Big) = 1 + O(X^{-(2\sigma - 1)})$$

And this would lead to a zero density theorem of the form,

$$N(\sigma, T) \ll T X^{-(2\sigma-1)}$$

In practice it is fairly easy to compute such expressions with X = T^{1/2} and this leads to a standard zero-density bound of the form

$$N(\sigma, T) \ll \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot TX^{-(2\sigma-1+2\varepsilon)}$$

• A reasonable choise of ε is $1/\log T$ and this would then give

$$\ll T^{1-(\sigma-{1\over 2})}\log T$$