

Probabilistic aspects of character sums

Lecture 3: Moving intervals

Adam J Harper
University of Warwick

SSANT Paris, June 2021

Recall: r is a large prime, χ is a (non-principal) Dirichlet character mod r .

Plan of the talk:

- ▶ Introduction to the moving intervals problem
- ▶ First thoughts about a random model
- ▶ Previous work
- ▶ A negative and a positive result
- ▶ Open questions

The problem

Investigate the statistical behaviour of

$$S_{\chi, H}(x) := \sum_{x < n \leq x+H} \chi(n)$$

as $1 \leq x \leq r$ varies, where $H = H(r)$ is some length function.

Ideally, we would like to understand the behaviour for each fixed $\chi \neq \chi_0$.

We might make the problem easier by only seeking results for “almost all” $\chi \pmod r$, or (even easier) varying χ in addition to varying x .

First thoughts

- ▶ As $1 \leq x \leq r$ varies, most of its values will be fairly large (e.g. larger than \sqrt{r}).
- ▶ So to understand the behaviour of $S_{\chi, H}(x)$, we will need to keep the Pólya Fourier expansion (PFE) in mind:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\chi, H}(x) &= \sum_{n \leq x+H} \chi(n) - \sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \\ &= \frac{\tau(\chi)}{2\pi i} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq r} \frac{\bar{\chi}(-k)}{k} (e(k(x+H)/r) - e(kx/r)) + O(\log r) \\ &= \frac{\tau(\chi)}{2\pi i} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq r} \frac{\bar{\chi}(-k)}{k} e(kx/r) (e(kH/r) - 1) + O(\log r) \\ &\approx \frac{\tau(\chi)H}{r} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq r/H} \bar{\chi}(-k) e(kx/r). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $S_{\chi, H}(x) \approx \frac{\tau(\chi)H}{r} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq r/H} \bar{\chi}(-k) e(kx/r)$.
- ▶ Compare with Lecture 2: here we have lost the denominator k .
- ▶ If $\sqrt{r} \leq H \leq r$, so that $r/H \leq \sqrt{r}$, then we might try modelling/investigating the values $\chi(-k)$ using random multiplicative functions. *This seems reasonable for “almost all” χ , but could there be some pathological χ that behave differently?*
- ▶ If $H \leq \sqrt{r}$, the random multiplicative model doesn't look so helpful.

Previous work

Theorem 1 (Davenport & Erdős, 1952)

If $\chi = \left(\frac{\cdot}{r}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol; and if the function H satisfies $H \rightarrow \infty$ but $(\log H)/\log r \rightarrow 0$ as the prime $r \rightarrow \infty$; and if $X \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ is uniformly random; then one has convergence in distribution to a standard Gaussian,

$$\frac{S_{\chi, H}(X)}{\sqrt{H}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

More explicitly, for any fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_{\chi, H}(X)}{\sqrt{H}} \leq z\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^z e^{-t^2/2} dt \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

What about non-real characters χ ?

Mak & Zaharescu, 2011: if one chooses a non-real character χ modulo each prime r (in any way), then under the same conditions on H as Davenport and Erdős we have

$$\Re \frac{S_{\chi, H}(X)}{\sqrt{H}}, \quad \Im \frac{S_{\chi, H}(X)}{\sqrt{H}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1/2) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lamzouri, 2013: if one chooses a non-real character χ modulo each prime r (in any way), then under the same conditions on H as Davenport and Erdős we have

$$\frac{S_{\chi, H}(X)}{\sqrt{H}} \xrightarrow{d} Z_1 + iZ_2 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where Z_1, Z_2 are independent $N(0, 1/2)$ random variables.

The condition $(\log H)/\log r \rightarrow 0$ arises because all these theorems are proved using the *method of moments*.

For example, Davenport and Erdős (with $\chi = (\frac{\cdot}{r})$) calculated

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_{0 \leq x \leq r-1} \left(\frac{S_{\chi, H}(x)}{\sqrt{H}} \right)^j = \frac{1}{rH^{j/2}} \sum_{1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_j \leq H} \sum_x \left(\frac{x+h_1}{r} \right) \dots \left(\frac{x+h_j}{r} \right),$$

showing that for each fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$ this converges to the standard normal moment $(1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z^j e^{-z^2/2} dz$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

This uses the Weil bound:

- ▶ given a tuple (h_1, \dots, h_j) of shifts, if any shift h occurs with odd multiplicity then the sum over x is $\ll_j \sqrt{r}$;
- ▶ under the condition $(\log H)/\log r \rightarrow 0$, all these terms are

$$\ll_j \frac{1}{\sqrt{rH^{j/2}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_j \leq H, \\ \text{a shift occurs with odd multiplicity}}} 1 \leq \frac{H^{j/2}}{\sqrt{r}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 2 (Chatterjee & Soundararajan, 2012)

If $f(n)$ is a Rademacher random multiplicative function, and $y = y(x)$ satisfies $x^{1/5} \log x \ll y = o(x/\log x)$, then

$$\frac{\sum_{x < n \leq x+y} f(n)}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{x < n \leq x+y} f(n) \right)^2}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Motivated by Theorem 2, Lamzouri made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 (Lamzouri, 2013)

If we choose a non-real character χ modulo each prime r (in any way), then provided $H \rightarrow \infty$ but $H = o(r/\log r)$ we have

$$\frac{S_{\chi, H}(X)}{\sqrt{H}} \xrightarrow{d} Z_1 + iZ_2 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where Z_1, Z_2 are independent $N(0, 1/2)$ random variables.

Is Lamzouri's conjecture reasonable?

- ▶ At first look, it seems plausible.
- ▶ But as already discussed, this problem involves values $\chi(n)$ for large n (much bigger than \sqrt{r}). So we need to use the PFE (or something else that encodes periodicity) to properly analyse the situation.
- ▶ Also, a random multiplicative function is expected to model a randomly chosen character. Here the character is fixed, and the start point X of the interval varies randomly.

A negative result

Theorem 3 (H.)

Let $A > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed, and set $H(r) = r / \log^A r$. Then as r varies over large primes, there exists a corresponding sequence of non-real characters χ modulo r for which

$$\frac{S_{\chi, H}(X)}{\sqrt{H}} \not\rightarrow Z_1 + iZ_2 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where Z_1, Z_2 are independent $N(0, 1/2)$ random variables.

Theorem 3 shows that Lamzouri's conjecture is false.

One can prove an analogous negative result for real characters $\left(\frac{\cdot}{r}\right)$.

Key steps in the proof:

- ▶ When $H = r/\log^A r$, the PFE implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{S_{\chi,H}(x)}{\sqrt{H}} &\approx \frac{\tau(\chi)\sqrt{H}}{r} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq r/H} \bar{\chi}(-k)e(kx/r) \\ &= \frac{\tau(\chi)\sqrt{H}}{r} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq \log^A r} \bar{\chi}(-k)e(kx/r).\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ For any fixed $A > 0$, we can find non-real Dirichlet characters $\chi \pmod r$ for which $\chi(k)$ looks “sort of like” 1 for all $1 \leq k \leq \log^A r$.
- ▶ For such χ , our sum $S_{\chi,H}(x)/\sqrt{H}$ will look “sort of like” the scaled Dirichlet kernel $\frac{\tau(\chi)\sqrt{H}}{r} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq \log^A r} e(kx/r)$, which shouldn't have Gaussian behaviour.

Second part:

Granville and Soundararajan, 2001: for any $A > 0$ and any prime r that is large enough in terms of A , there exist (many) $\chi \pmod r$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq \log^A r} \chi(n) \right| \gtrsim \rho(A) \log^A r,$$

where $\rho(A) > 0$.

(Roughly speaking, the χ produced are such that $\chi(p) \approx 1$ for all $p \leq \log r$. The lower bound comes from the contribution from $\log r$ -smooth numbers n , which are a positive proportion of all $n \leq \log^A r$.)

Third part:

To rigorously exploit our lower bound

$|\sum_{n \leq \log^A r} \chi(n)| \gtrsim \rho(A) \log^A r$, we need:

Lemma 1

Let $0 \leq \tau < 1$, and suppose $(V_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of random variables satisfying $\mathbb{E}|V_n|^2 \leq \tau$ for all n . Then if Z is any random variable such that $\mathbb{E}|Z|^2 = 1$, we have

$$V_n \xrightarrow{d} Z \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof of Lemma 1.

Choose $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{E} \min\{|Z|^2, a^2\} \geq (1 + \tau)/2$ (such a exists by the monotone convergence theorem).

Since $v \mapsto \min\{|v|^2, a^2\}$ is a continuous *bounded* function on \mathbb{C} , if we had $V_n \xrightarrow{d} Z$ then we would have

$$\mathbb{E} \min\{|V_n|^2, a^2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \min\{|Z|^2, a^2\} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

But this is impossible, since

$$\mathbb{E} \min\{|V_n|^2, a^2\} \leq \mathbb{E}|V_n|^2 \leq \tau < (1 + \tau)/2. \quad \square$$

Then if we let α be such that

$$\sum_{0 < k \leq \log^A r} \bar{\chi}(-k) = \alpha \sum_{0 < k \leq \log^A r} 1, \text{ and set}$$

$$G_{\chi, H}(x) := \frac{\alpha \tau(\chi) \sqrt{H}}{r} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log^A r} e(kx/r), \text{ we have}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_{\chi, H}(x)}{\sqrt{H}} &\approx \frac{\tau(\chi) \sqrt{H}}{r} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq \log^A r} \bar{\chi}(-k) e(kx/r) \\ &= \left(\frac{\tau(\chi) \sqrt{H}}{r} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq \log^A r} \bar{\chi}(-k) e(kx/r) - G_{\chi, H}(x) \right) + G_{\chi, H}(x). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Using the formula for the sum of a geometric progression, we see $G_{\chi, H}(x)$ is small whenever $\sqrt{rH} \leq x \leq r - \sqrt{rH}$.
- ▶ This is almost all values of $x \pmod r$, so if $\frac{S_{\chi, H}(X)}{\sqrt{H}}$ converges in distribution to $Z_1 + iZ_2$ then the same must be true for $\left(\frac{\tau(\chi) \sqrt{H}}{r} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq \log^A r} \bar{\chi}(-k) e(kX/r) - G_{\chi, H}(X) \right)$.

- ▶ But if we compute

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{\tau(\chi)\sqrt{H}}{r} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq \log^A r} \bar{\chi}(-k)e(kX/r) - G_{\chi,H}(X) \right|^2,$$

(where $X \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ is uniformly random), we find this is $\approx 1 - |\alpha|^2$.

- ▶ We have $\mathbb{E}|Z_1 + iZ_2|^2 = \mathbb{E}Z_1^2 + \mathbb{E}Z_2^2 = 1$.
- ▶ And by our choice of χ , we know $|\alpha|$ is bounded away from zero (it is $\gtrsim \rho(A)$).
- ▶ So Theorem 3 follows from Lemma 1.



A positive result

The characters χ that we use to disprove Lamzouri's conjecture are rather pathological, so we might hope the conjecture could at least be true for “almost all” characters mod r .

Theorem 4 (H.)

Let $H = H(r)$ be a function satisfying $\frac{\log(r/H)}{\log r} \rightarrow 0$ but $H = o(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exist sets \mathcal{G}_r of characters mod r , satisfying $\frac{\#\mathcal{G}_r}{r-1} \rightarrow 1$, such that for $\chi \in \mathcal{G}_r$ we have

$$\frac{S_{\chi, H}(X)}{\sqrt{H}} \xrightarrow{d} Z_1 + iZ_2 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

The condition that $H = o(r)$ is natural (so the number $\approx r/H$ of terms in the PFE tends to infinity).

The condition that $\frac{\log(r/H)}{\log r} \rightarrow 0$ is (presumably) just an artefact of the proof.

Key steps in the proof:

- ▶ Prove the analogous result for $\frac{\sqrt{H}}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq r/H} f(-k)e(kX/r)$, where $f(k)$ is a Steinhaus random multiplicative function.
- ▶ This can be done using *martingale theory*. Another treatment, via moments and a non-trivial point counting problem, is in a 2020 paper of Benatar, Nishry and Rodgers.
- ▶ Compare the moments of $\frac{\sqrt{H}}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq r/H} f(-k)e(kX/r)$ with those of $\frac{\sqrt{H}}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{0 < |k| \leq r/H} \bar{\chi}(-k)e(kX/r)$. *This is where the condition $\frac{\log(r/H)}{\log r} \rightarrow 0$ is used.*

Open questions

As a replacement for Lamzouri's conjecture, I tentatively propose:

Conjecture 2

If we choose a non-real character χ modulo each prime r (in any way), then provided $H \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{\log(r/H)}{\log \log r} \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\frac{S_{\chi, H}(X)}{\sqrt{H}} \xrightarrow{d} Z_1 + iZ_2 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where Z_1, Z_2 are independent $N(0, 1/2)$ random variables.

(And the analogous conjecture for real characters.)

Open question: Prove Conjecture 2.

But beware!

To prove the conjecture, we need to know that the construction used in Theorem 3 cannot be extended, so we need to know (at least) that

$$\sum_{n \leq r/H} \chi(n) = o(r/H) \quad \forall \chi \neq \chi_0 \pmod{r}, \quad \text{provided } \frac{\log(r/H)}{\log \log r} \rightarrow \infty.$$

We only know how to prove this assuming GRH, so a proof of the conjecture will (probably!) need to be conditional.

Open question: Prove the conjecture for “almost all” χ on a range of H where it is unknown, e.g. when $H = \sqrt{r}$.

This will require finding an alternative to the method of moments (or perhaps a much cleverer application of it).